



Criteria for Rating Investment Holding
Companies

Contents

Scope of the Criteria	3
Summary of the Criteria Changes	3
An Overview of the Ratings Framework	3
Component 1, Factor A: Country Risk Score	5
Component 1, Factor B: Investment Holding Company Sector Risk Score	5
Component 2: Business Profile	5
Component 2, Factor A: Investment Position & Track-record	6
Component 2, Factor A1: Size and Diversification.....	6
Component 2, Factor A2: Quality of the Investment Portfolio	7
Component 2, Factor A3: Investment track-record	8
Component 2, Factor B: Sustainability Assessment.....	8
Component 3: Financial Profile	9
Component 3, Factor A: Leverage & Cash Flow	9
Component 3, Factor B: Liquidity	12
Component 4: External Support & Peer Comparison	15
Component 4, Factor A: Group Support.....	15
Component 4, Factor B: Government Support	15
Component 4, Factor C: Peer Comparison.....	15
Rating Adjustment Factor 1: Issue Rating(s):.....	15

Scope of the Criteria

1. The 'Criteria for Rating Investment Holding Companies 'IHCs')', ('IHC Criteria') applies only where the rated entity is a holding company that owns equity participations in operating companies, which typically operate as standalone ventures, expressly with the intent to generate capital appreciation and/or dividend flows over the medium to long-term by managing and eventually selling the assets and reinvesting returns in new undertakings. Typically, an IHC will only have a strategic and not operational management role within its investee companies. Furthermore, unlike asset managers or other regulated investment companies, IHCs do not manage third party funds for a fee-based income.
2. The IHC Criteria scope excludes conglomerates, which are rated under the Group Classification & Support Section (pg19) of the 'Criteria for the GCR Ratings Framework'. GCR defines conglomerates as holding companies that typically have controlling stakes in a diverse range of/disaggregated operating entities, which function across industries, and the ultimate owners have no medium-long term strategic view to sell the assets. The shareholders may have a more operational management role in its subsidiaries, including but not restricted to shared financing and services.
3. The scope also excludes (non)operating holding companies that sit atop homogenous/operationally integrated financial or corporate groups for legal, regulatory, control or tax reasons. These entities are rated under the sector specific (i.e. financial institutions, insurance or corporate) criteria.

Summary of the Criteria Changes

4. The criteria have been updated to include elements of Sustainability. For an overview on our thoughts regarding how ESG has been applied throughout the GCR Ratings Framework see the article titled 'How GCR Integrates Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) into Issue(r) Credit Ratings'.


An Overview of the Ratings Framework

5. To improve the comparability and transparency of the ratings, GCR have adopted a framework (see below) with publicly available scoring for the major rating components. The goal is to allow

each stakeholder (issuer, investor, regulator, counterparty etc.) to know in detail, each of the major rating drivers and ultimately what factors may change the ratings in the future.

6. To achieve this, GCR has adopted four major rating components (operating environment, business profile, financial profile, and comparative profile), which are all broken down into two or three major factors and sub-factors, with a public positive or negative score assigned to each. The summation of the scores determines the GCR Risk Score, which is translated using the GCR Anchor Credit Evaluator into the Anchor Credit Evaluation, and then using final rating adjustment factors into issue(r) credit ratings. It is important to note that there are no fixed weightings for the components, factors, or sub-factors.
7. The accumulation of the component scores will generate the GCR Risk Score. Typically, this score will range between zero (0: weakest) and forty (40: strongest). The lower the score, the weaker the assessment. Importantly, GCR can use decimal scoring (example 0,25, 0,50 or 0,75) to improve differentiation and rating relativities.
8. To understand the following criteria, GCR recommends that it is read in conjunction with the '[GCR Ratings Framework](#)' and the [GCR Rating Scales, Symbols & Definitions](#) and the [Anchor Credit Evaluator](#), which is published on the [GCR Website](#) and will help translate the GCR Risk Score to the international and national scale ratings.

Investment Holding Companies



Component 1: Operating Environment

9. The core of the GCR rating framework is based on GCR's opinion that an entity's operating environment frames its creditworthiness. As a result, the operating environment analysis anchors the underlying risk score for the GCR rating methodology. Essentially, GCR combines elements of country and sectoral analysis, weighted across countries when appropriate, to anchor the IHC to its operating conditions.
10. Whilst the direct link to country risk may not be as obvious for investment holding companies as it is for financial institutions (for example), GCR still believes the wealth of households and the political/ business environment are essential considerations to consider the creditworthiness of an IHC.

Component 1, Factor A: Country Risk Score

11. Scored on a 0 (lowest) to 15 (highest) scale. GCR scores the weighted average of the key investee companies' (i.e. material investments) market capitalisation, net asset value, EBITDA, revenues or assets by geography, depending on what GCR considers to be the most appropriate, in line with the '[Country Risk Score](#)' methodology as highlighted in the '[Country Risk Criteria](#)'. See the global country risk criteria published [here in the GCR Ratings Framework](#).

Component 1, Factor B: Investment Holding Company Sector Risk Score

12. Scored on a 0 (lowest) to 15 (highest) scale. The IHC Sector risk score reflects the weighted average sector risk score of material investee companies, in line with the sector risk scoring/ methodology present in the sector specific (i.e. financial institutions, insurance or corporate for example) criteria. GCR will typically blend the sector score, as it considers appropriate.

Component 2: Business Profile

13. The business profile examines the investment position, investment track-record, as well as the management & governance of the investment holding company, aligning the IHC criteria to the broader GCR risk framework. The company profile assessment is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors. The maximum score is a ten (+10) and the minimum score is a negative fifteen (-15). The exaggerated downside of the scoring reflects the risk that very weak investments can have on the IHC.

Component 2, Factor A: Investment Position & Track-record

14. GCR believes that the competitive strengths of an Investment Holding Company can be best exemplified by the size, diversification and quality of its investment portfolio. As a result, GCR assesses these factors and generates an initial score. GCR may also make an adjustment for the investment track-record of the IHC to differentiate those companies who have demonstrated a strong ability to continually help grow or select successful companies.

Component 2, Factor A1: Size and Diversification

15. GCR uses table 1: (Size & Diversification below) as a guide to rank the size and diversity of the IHC. The maximum score is a four (+4) and the minimum score is a negative five (-5). GCR believes that IHCs that have achieved scale by way of strong sector and geographic diversification are less exposed to stress or volatility. As a result, GCR starts by ranking IHCs according to the observable nominal size of their investment portfolios (using market capitalisation for listed entities and haircut in-house valuations for unlisted investments), and adjusting for sectoral, geographic and single name concentrations. Regardless of size, significant concentrations will reduce the assessment to low/ lowest levels.

Table 1: Size & Diversification		
Assessment	Score	Typical characteristics*
Highest	3, 4	Typically, a portfolio above USD5bn. Geographically well diversified, with no single jurisdiction contributing more than 33% of total investments. Well diversified by sector, with no single industry contributing more than 33% of the investment portfolio. Top three investments below 30% of the portfolio.
High	2, 1	Typically, a portfolio above USD1bn. Geographically diverse, with no single jurisdiction contributing more than 50% of total investments. Well diversified by sector, with no single industry contributing more than 50% of the investment portfolio. Top three investments below 40% of the portfolio.
Intermediate	0, -1	Typically, a geographically concentrated or single jurisdiction IHC, which is well diversified by sector, with no single industry contributing more than 50% of the investment portfolio. Top three investments below 50% of the investment portfolio.
Low	-2, -3, -4	Typically, a geographically concentrated or single jurisdiction IHC, with some sector concentrations but with no single industry contributing more than 75% of the investment portfolio. Top three investments below 75% of the portfolio.
Lowest	-5 or below	Weak franchise (which may include start-ups that are yet to achieve targeted competitive positions/profitability), highly concentrated in failing entities, failed or close to failing.

*the above highlights typical characteristics of a highest, high, intermediate, low and lowest assessments. It is likely that an entity could have one or more characteristics, which lie across the ranges. GCR allows analytical decision making to decide what the most pertinent factors for each rated entity are. However, to achieve a stronger score, the entity is likely to have several cumulative strengths. Conversely, any one risk can bring the score down to the lowest levels. GCR can use decimal scoring (example 0.25, 0.50 or 0.75) to improve differentiation and relative credit ranking.

Component 2, Factor A2: Quality of the Investment Portfolio

16. GCR measures the quality of an IHC's investment portfolio in order to assess the potential ability of the company to sell down assets or rely on stable dividend flows to repay/service debt. The assessment measures the relative qualities between the investments' combined risk score against the combined operating environment risk score (country risk + sector risk) of the portfolio.
17. GCR uses two methods to measure the quality of the investment portfolio. Primarily, GCR will aim to assign a GCR risk score to the underlying investee companies. However, this is typically accomplished only when the entity contributes over 10% of the IHC's investment portfolio, and where GCR has public issuer credit ratings or can rely on public information to create reliable credit assessments of material investee companies.
18. Where the investee entity is too small or there is insufficient information to allow for a credit assessment, GCR will typically use the guide below:
- a. If the investment entity is a mature company and a regular dividend provider, with no negative news flow and minimal leverage, GCR will assume that the entity has the risk score equivalent of up to a national scale bb+ for its domicile jurisdiction.
 - b. If the investment is a start-up company with no track-record of earnings creation, or has poor news flow or high leverage, the risk score of the entity will typically be capped at the rating score equivalent of a national scale b- (ACE) for its host location of the investee company.
 - c. We may choose the risk score equivalents of ratings in between the b- and the bb+ national scale ratings, should we have information of the investee company.
 - d. If the country risk score is over 10 for the host location, or if the jurisdiction is unrated, we can use the international scale risk score equivalent.
 - e. A defaulted or liquidated entity would have a score of 1.
19. Once GCR has estimated the risk score for each investment, GCR will compare each investment to their operating environment and score them. If the investment is 1 below the operating environment score, it would attract a -1 score. If the investment is 2 above the operating score, it would attract a +2 and so on. Accordingly, the scores are weighted by the contribution to the aggregated portfolio, and the final score is created.
20. Transition Risks of investee companies: For GCR, transition risks refer to how an entity's competitive positioning could benefit or suffer from periods of change. This change could be technological,

regulatory, legislative, or an evolving business model. This involves examining factors such as market volatility, regulatory compliance, complexities of adopting new business lines, customer retention, and workforce adjustments. Furthermore, GCR would include Environmental or Social transitions, referring to the challenges and opportunities arising from shifting towards sustainable and responsible business practices. For example, GCR will look at green/ social or less sustainable products and services, against the broader market. Entities that are well placed to benefit from such change, especially when they have a publicly reported mandate, specific expertise, which has measurable environmental or social benefits, could see a positive ratings impact. Conversely, entities that are exposed to higher environmental or transition risks, to a greater extent than sector peers, would be seen negatively.

21. **Physical / Social Risks: of investee companies:** GCR will examine the entity's exposure to, and preparedness for/ management of, potential environmental or social risk exposures that can cause harm, damage or disruption to distribution channels, the loan book, related parties, or other balance sheet assets. Furthermore, GCR will reflect any legal, regulatory, or reputational risks associated with weak environmental or social practices.

Component 2, Factor A3: Investment track-record

22. GCR may overlay positive or negative risk score adjustments for an IHC's investment track-record. For a positive adjustment, GCR would require a sustained history of investing/ company building and realisations across sectors. However, GCR may also consider the historic trend of revenue/income consistency for the investee companies, concentrating on the absolute returns and the stability of revenues, if there is a limited realisation track-record. The quality of earnings is assessed by considering the stability of inflows up streamed to the IHC. GCR may make a negative adjustment if the track-record of earnings and realisation of values accrued is weak or unestablished.

Component 2, Factor B: Sustainability Assessment

23. The IHC criteria has adopted the universal GCR Sustainability Assessment, for more information on how this score is derived please click [here](#) for the [GCR Ratings Framework](#).

Component 3: Financial Profile

24. The financial profile assessment focuses on the leverage, cash flow and liquidity of an IHC. GCR has adopted an investment leverage ratio as the main quantitative premise for its assessment, with adjustments for cash flow metrics utilised where applicable. This is because IHCs are typically reliant on the disposal of investments, or the upstreaming cash flows from their investments to support debt serviceability and reduce leverage.
25. The financial profile assessment is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors. The maximum score is a plus seven (+7) and the minimum score is a negative twenty (-20), regardless of the outcome of the scores in the components detailed below. The exaggerated downside of the scoring reflects the disproportionately high risk that very weak liquidity and leverage can have on the IHC.

Component 3, Factor A: Leverage & Cash Flow

26. GCR's primary measure of leverage is the investment value ratio of IHCs. The leverage ratio is a simple net debt (*gross debt (including guarantees of investee company debt, funds in related funding vehicles, and any other contingent liabilities) minus cash and other liquid assets (excluding the liquid assets of investee companies and the IHC's encumbered assets)*) to the value of investments. The maximum score is a five (+5), and the minimum score a negative ten (-10).
27. When calculating the value of the IHCs investments, GCR will use the market observed pricing for listed entities or the net asset value of unlisted entities, minus a haircut which changes depending on the equity market location of the investee company. GCR has characterized the equity market locations and haircuts in table 2. GCR may, however, choose to override these haircuts if the entity has a strong history of realisations, and/or if there are recent independent valuations for unlisted investments and/ or evidence of a similar sale price realised in a recent period.

Table 2: Haircuts applied to investee companies equity value

	Countries	Listed Haircut	Unlisted Haircut
Developed	Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Republic of Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America.	15%	25%
Emerging	Brazil, Chile, Columbia, Czech Republic, Egypt, Greece, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Russia, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, and UAE.	20%	30%
Frontier	Any country not in the above buckets.	25%	35%

Table 3: Leverage & Cash Flow

Assessment	Score	Primary Measure:
		Investment Leverage (loan to value)
Highest	4, 5	To achieve the highest score the IHC would typically have the strongest investment leverage ratio, and a strongly diversified pool of dividend sources, or marketable, liquid investee companies.
High	2, 3	Less than 25%
Intermediate	1, 0, -1	25% to 50%
Low	-2, -3	50% to 75%
Lowest	-4 to -10	>75%

*the above highlights typical characteristics of a highest, high, intermediate, low and lowest assessments. It is likely that an entity could have one or more characteristics, which lie across the ranges. GCR allows analytical decision making to decide what the most pertinent factors for each rated entity are. However, to achieve a stronger score, the entity is likely to have several cumulative strengths. Conversely, any one risk can bring the score down to the lowest levels. GCR can use decimal scoring (example 0,25, 0,50 or 0,75) to improve differentiation and relative credit ranking.


28. GCR may also adjust for other risks that affect the capital structure, which include:

- I. To compliment the investment leverage ratio, GCR will also analyse the cash flow coverage of interest payments of the IHC to see if cash flows generated from operations are sufficient to support the ongoing debt service needs of IHC. If cash flows or earnings are not suitably covering the interest and short-term principal repayments of the IHC, GCR may choose to bring down the initial starting point. Broadly, adjustments may be made in the following cases:

Table 4: Cash Flow Coverage

Assessment	Score	OCF / Cash Interest (x)
Intermediate	0, -1	>3x
Low	-2, -3	>1.5x- 3x
Lowest	-4 to -10	>1.5x

*the above highlights typical characteristics of a highest, high, intermediate, low and lowest assessments. It is likely that an entity could have one or more characteristics, which lie across the ranges. GCR allows analytical decision making to decide what the most pertinent factors for each rated entity are. However, to achieve a stronger score, the entity is likely to have several cumulative strengths. Conversely, any one risk can bring the score down to the lowest levels. GCR can use decimal scoring (example 0,25, 0,50 or 0,75) to improve differentiation and relative credit ranking.

- 
- II. Currency risk arises when entities borrow in a currency other than the one in which its revenues or earnings are realised. This position can materially change the leverage position of the entity (in the event of adverse currency movements) if the company has/cannot not put a financial hedge. The level of adjustment will depend on the amount of leverage and the foreign currency exposure/volatility.
 - III. If the debt structure is concentrated, GCR can make a negative adjustment(s). Broadly GCR would view funding to be concentrated when it comes from one source, i.e. if it is almost entirely funded by the banking sector, or if one counterparty contributes more than 33% of funds.
 - IV. GCR places strong emphasis on the maturity profile of the capital structure. GCR could make an adjustment if there are material refinancing risks at any stage or if the weighted-average-maturity of funds are under two years.
 - V. GCR views the regular use of shareholder loans or guarantees to investee companies as a negative factor, as it lowers the potential liquidity of the investments and may demonstrate a lack of market access from the investee companies.
 - VI. If debt is growing very quickly, possibly due to an aggressive investment strategy and the associated commitments, GCR can also bring down the initial leverage score, depending on the nature of the growth.

Weak or strong operating subsidiaries/investments. If the group owns an investee company that is materially underperforming and/or over leveraged, is dependent on funding/liquidity from the IHC/other shareholders, or is close to covenant breach, GCR could make a negative adjustment.

- VII. Going concern loss bearing capital instruments can be included up to 33% of GCR core capital. The instruments will typically be perpetual, even if potentially callable by management after 5 years, or with a residual maturity of over 10 years (after which we will start grandfathering the notes). The notes will have discretionary (and possibly mandatory/ statutory) non-payment of coupon, on a non-cumulative and non-penalized basis. Lastly, the notes will require conversion or write-down clauses with mandatory/ statutory trigger points that mean the instrument will take losses as the financial institution remains a going concern. Should the trigger points be close to a point of non-viability, we may limit the equity credit of such notes to a total of 15% of core capital. The failure to pay coupon or conversion/ write down shouldn't cause cross default of senior instruments and

there should be no step-up clauses. The unconditional optionality of the issuer to convert the note at any point would be seen positively and possibly allow for higher equity content. We may also increase capital credit if the investor and issuer have social or environmental mandates and such considerations are part of the instrument. Additionally, GCR may include elements of permanent group or government funding which could be converted to capital. Financial Institutions may not be applicable for the 'highest' assessment if the entity is reliant on lower quality capital, especially in markets without a defined resolution process and a track-record of conversions/ write-downs.

- VIII. Sustainability linked funding: When evaluating a company's leverage, sustainability-linked funding provides a unique opportunity to consider environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors alongside traditional financial metrics. By incorporating sustainability targets and performance indicators into the funding structure, companies can demonstrate their commitment to responsible business practices and long-term sustainability. Furthermore, by integrating sustainability into their business strategies, companies can achieve greater funding stability and access funding at lower costs. Evidence of this could support the assessment.

Component 3, Factor B: Liquidity

29. GCR applies a zero-tolerance approach to a lack of liquidity. This is because a company with a healthy balance sheet and strong competitive position can still fail if it does not have appropriate levels of, and control over, its liquidity. As a result, weak liquidity can bring the ratings down to the lowest levels, should GCR have concerns. The maximum score is a two (+2) and the minimum score is a negative ten (-10), with the exaggerated downside in the scoring emphasising the high risk that weak liquidity or an ineffectual treasury function can have on the credit risk profile of an IHC.
30. Our analytical approach is based on a simple view of the entity's ability to meet its liquidity requirements over a rolling one to two-year period. GCR first examines the sources of liquidity by netting non-pledged, non-restricted cash or other liquid assets that have not been earmarked for future investments off the total debt stock. Within liquid assets, GCR includes all listed investments of the IHC, typically with the haircuts in Table 2 (above), after taking cognisance of any market, organisational, legal, contractual, or regulatory limitations on the company's ability to sell the assets. GCR may also choose to allow elements of unlisted investments only if there is strong proof of a future sale.


- 
31. Secondly, GCR includes all anticipated funds from investments (which GCR may haircut if dividends and other income streams have been volatile or is of the view that such cash flows could decline from the historic observations). Thirdly, GCR includes the undrawn and available portion of committed facilities maturing after 12 months. GCR may also include a percentage of non-committed facilities if the provider of such credit lines has a stronger risk score than the borrower, and there is no joint default risk between the two parties. Regarding both committed and uncommitted lines, GCR will include the entire amount of credit available, up to but not beyond any covenant breach. GCR will typically also exclude all facilities that have rating trigger-based access and events of default.
32. When examining uses, GCR first looks at debt maturities over a year one period, presuming the IHC will not have access to refinancing those funds. GCR then includes all planned capital expenditures and other discretionary elements of cash outflows. GCR will also overlay any other expected group liquidity needs or requirements.
33. The presence and proximity to covenants is an important factor when assessing the uses of liquidity. Covenants that trigger funding accelerations, events of default or cross default clauses are of particular importance and the score may be notched down to low/the lowest ranges to reflect the proximity and severity of the risk at hand.
34. Lastly, GCR also takes a negative view of significant levels of asset encumbrance. This is because encumbrances can subordinate senior unsecured claims in liquidation and divert cash flows away from the payment of general unsecured debt. Consequently, as asset encumbrances increase, the issuer credit rating comes down.

Table 4: Liquidity		
Assessment	Score	Description
High	2	The entity has sources of liquidity that cover more than 2x its uses over a one-year period and 1.5x over a 2-year period. Furthermore, there is significant headroom in its debt covenants. There are no rating triggers in the debt packages. Funding relationships with banks of good creditworthiness are strong and stable. There is no asset encumbrance.
Intermediate	1, 0, -1	The entity has sources of liquidity that cover between 1.25 x and 2x its uses over a one-year period. For a positive score, the coverage should be over 1x for 18 months at least. GCR is comfortable with the covenant headroom. There are rating triggers in the debt packages but with large substantial headroom. Funding relationships are strong and stable. Asset encumbrance is less than 30% of total assets.
Low	-2, -3, -4	The entity has sources of liquidity that cover between 1x-1.25 x its uses over a one-year period. There is limited covenant headroom, which could cause a material acceleration or event of default should the performance of the company deteriorate. There are rating triggers in the debt packages but with reasonable headroom. Funding relationships are questionable. Asset encumbrance is less than 50% of total assets.
Lowest	-5 to -10	The entity's sources of liquidity are insufficient to cover one years' uses. Covenant breaches are material, significant and plausible. Funding partners are refusing to provide access to funds. Asset encumbrance is more than 50% of total assets.

*the above highlights typical characteristics of a highest, high, intermediate, low and lowest assessments. It is likely that an entity could have one or more characteristics, which lie across the ranges. GCR allows analytical decision making to decide what the most pertinent factors for each rated entity are. However, to achieve a stronger score, the entity is likely to have several cumulative strengths. Conversely, any one risk can bring the score down to the lowest levels. *GCR can use decimal scoring (example 0.25, 0.50 or 0.75) to improve differentiation and relative credit ranking.*

Component 4: External Support & Peer Comparison

35. The last risk score component allows GCR to make a series of qualitative changes based on external support or peer comparisons. The most common form of support being ongoing group or extraordinary sovereign support. Support comes from shareholders/ affiliates and/or governments. However, if both elements of support apply, GCR will only take the higher of the two support options to avoid double counting.

Component 4, Factor A: Group Support

36. For details on group support please see the Criteria for the GCR Ratings Framework.

Component 4, Factor B: Government Support

37. For details on government support, please see the Criteria for the GCR Ratings Framework.

Component 4, Factor C: Peer Comparison

38. GCR allows two positive or negative risk score adjustments to create greater credit differentiation. Typically, these adjustments should be used when an investment holding company is a generally better or worse performing company than its peer group, across a number of fields but no single factor has created/captures the ratings differential.

Rating Adjustment Factor 1: Issue Rating(s):

39. The notching from the legal entity rating will depend on the nature of the instrument, whilst always respecting the credit hierarchy. See the Criteria for Rating Financial Service Companies for details.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY GCR ARE GCR'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY GCR (COLLECTIVELY, PUBLICATIONS) MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. GCR DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE. SEE APPLICABLE GCR RATING SCALES, SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: FRAUD, LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS") AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN GCR'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. GCR ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING OR SALE.

GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT GCR'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by GCR from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. GCR adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources GCR considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, GCR is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, GCR, its affiliates and its and their directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if GCR or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by GCR.

To the extent permitted by law, GCR, its affiliates and its and their directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, wilful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, GCR or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY GCR IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

GCR hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) rated by GCR have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to compensate GCR for the provision of those credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it. GCR also maintains policies and procedures to address the independence of GCR's credit ratings and credit rating processes.