



GCR Financial Institutions Sector Risk Scores 23 July 2019

Analytical contacts

Primary analyst

Johannesburg, South Africa

Matthew Pirnie

MatthewP@GCRratings.com

Sector Head: Financial Institutions

+27 11 784 1771

Related criteria and research

Criteria for the GCR Ratings Framework, May 2019

Criteria for Rating Financial Institutions, May 2019

GCR Country Risk Scores: June 2019

Financial Institutions Sector Risk Scores (0-15)

The financial institutions sector risk score, assessed on a scale between 0-15, is important in a number of ways. Firstly, as a key factor in the operating environment component score. The core of the GCR Ratings Framework is based on GCR Ratings' ("GCR") opinion that an entity's operating environment largely frames its creditworthiness. As a result, the operating environment analysis anchors the underlying risk score for the GCR ratings methodology. Financial institutions are especially vulnerable to these factors. GCR combines elements of the country risk and sectoral risk analysis, blended across countries for entities operating across multiple jurisdictions, to anchor a financial institution to its current operating conditions. Furthermore, the operating environment (the country risk score combined with the financial sector risk score) creates the floor from which government support can be provided for banks and the hurdle which may cap risk scores for entities significantly exposed to one jurisdiction. For more details on any of the above, please read the related criteria and research listed above.

GCR will periodically publish updated "Financial Institutions Sector Risk Scores", which will supersede previous publications. The publication titled "Financial Institutions Sector Risk Scores, 23 July 2019", available at <https://gcratings.com/risk-scores/>, supersedes the article published on 15 July 2019.

Financial Institutions Sector Risk Scores (0-15)

Botswana Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 5.5

Botswana's financial institutions sector risk score of '5.5' reflects the strong sovereign risk, small and concentrated economy, generally modest levels of non-performing loans and low foreign currency lending. We also factor in adequate levels of regulation and a relatively concentrated, profitable and well capitalised banking sector. Lastly, we balance the largely deposit-led funding base with underdeveloped local capital markets.

Cote D'Ivoire Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 3.5

The financial institutions sector risk score of '3.5' for Cote D'Ivoire balances the strong economic growth and sound government fiscal position with moderately high non-performing loans and aggressive competition in the sector, as demonstrated by generally low levels of capital adequacy and profitability. Lastly, we balance the largely deposit-led funding base with underdeveloped capital markets.

Ghana Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 2

The Ghanaian financial institutions sector risk score of '2' is restrained by the weak but improving fiscal position of the government and state-owned enterprises, the currently high stock of sector wide non-performing loans of approximately 19% at April 2019 and moderately high foreign currency lending (33% of total loans). We also consider the banking sector to be somewhat fragmented, regulated in line with regional norms, adequately capitalised (average around 17% at April 2019) and profitability to be sound. Local deposits are the primary funding source, with limited wholesale or external funding. Fixed income markets are underdeveloped.

Kenya Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 3.5

The Kenyan financial institutions sector risk score of '3.5' balances the good economic growth and diversification with an increasingly strained government fiscal position and weak sector-wide asset quality, with non-performing loans of around 12% at Dec 31st, 2018. Positively, the foreign currency exposures (around 20-25%) are moderate for the region. We also consider the sector to be somewhat overbanked and strongly competitive. Regulation is broadly in line with the regional average, however, the interest rate cap which restrains profitability and moderate credit extension is not considered positively. We consider the funding for the top end of the market to be stable, dominated by retail and corporate deposits. However, institutional investor concentrations permeate elements of the second and third tiers of the sector.

Malawi Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 2

The Malawian financial institutions sector risk score of '2' reflects the modest economic growth of the country, alongside the restrained government fiscal position, weak but improving levels of non-performing loans and moderately high industry concentrations (trade, agriculture and manufacturing) in the loan and non-performing loan book. We consider regulation to be broadly in line with the region and complexity of the system. The banking sector is somewhat concentrated. Two out of the total nine banks dominate the sector with a combined market share of just over 45%. Positively, profitability and capitalisation remain at fairly good levels. Short-term deposits dominate the funding structure of the system. There are moderately high levels of foreign currency funding and lending (approximately 25%) and underdeveloped capital markets.

Mozambique Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 2

The Mozambican financial institutions sector risk score of '2' is restrained by the current fiscal position of the government and some state-owned enterprises. Furthermore, non-performing loans are high but improving. Foreign currency lending is at moderately high levels, at approximately 25%. We view regulation as broadly in line with the region. The funding base of the sector is predominantly made up of retail and corporate deposits. Capital markets remain underdeveloped.

Namibia Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 6.5

The Namibian financial institutions sector risk score of '6.5' is supported by the oligopolistic structure of the banks, with the top four banks controlling around 90% of total assets. Furthermore, the banks are generally considered to be well managed, transparent and have consistently demonstrated adequate levels of capitalisation, low foreign currency exposure and good levels of profitability. Nevertheless, there are clear weaknesses in the sector including rising non-performing loans (up to 3.6% at Dec, 2018 from 2.5% a year earlier), caused by the currently weak economy and high amounts of private sector indebtedness. We also see some structural weaknesses in the funding base of the system, due to the significant concentrations from the large institutional investors.

Rwanda, Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 4

The Rwandan financial institutions sector risk score of '4' balances the low wealth, the moderate size and diversification of the economy with modest levels of non-performing and foreign currency loans versus regional peers and regulation which is deemed to be appropriate from its current levels of development and complexity. We consider the sector to be somewhat overbanked given the size of the economy, we note that the top tier of the sector is controlled by a few players but that regional banks are increasingly competitive in the country. Positively the banking sector appears well capitalised on average, but profitability can be modest. Funding is largely deposit based, with limited wholesale and external funding. The local capital markets are underdeveloped.

South Africa Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 8

The South African financial institutions sector risk score of 8 is the highest in the Africa region. It is supported by the oligopolistic structure of the system, with the top five banks controlling around 90% of total assets. The top tier banks have good levels of diversification, by business line and extended franchises across the Africa region. Generally, they are adequately capitalised and profitable. We expect return on equity to range between 15.5% and 16.5% in the next 12-18 months. We consider regulation and supervision to be strong in a regional context, generally being early adopters of international best practice. Nevertheless, private sector debt and especially household debt is relatively high in comparison to other emerging markets and for the wealth levels of the country. Positively, there is a low amount of foreign currency lending. We expect credit losses of the major banks to range between 1-1.5% over the next 12-18 months. One of the key weaknesses of the system is the short to medium term funding concentrations, created by the dominant role of institutional money managers in contractual savings. Capital markets are developed versus regional peers.

Tanzania Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 2.5

The relatively low financial institutions sector risk score of Tanzania of '2.5' reflects the long-term weak asset quality of the sector, which has caused low levels of provisioning. Furthermore, there are relatively high (approximately 30%) levels of foreign currency lending and funding. The banking sector is moderately fragmented, with the top five and ten banks contributing 54% and 71% of total assets, and modestly profitable. We also note some shortfalls in the supervision and prudential regulation of the banking sector. The systemwide funding is largely retail and corporate deposit based, however, there have been historically large concentrations from institutional investors especially from those banks in the second and third tier.

The United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 11

The UK Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score of '11' is supported by the wealthy and diverse economy, its position as a global hub for financial services, low through-the-cycle credit losses, a good regulatory regime and generally strong risk management, alongside diverse funding structures and deep, liquid capital markets. Conversely, the score is somewhat restrained by the moderate profitability of the sector, high interest rate sensitivity of households and domestic real estate, modest economic growth and the potential instability caused by the ongoing 'Brexit' process.

Uganda Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 3.5

The Ugandan financial institutions sector risk score of '3.5' balances the low levels of private sector leverage (approximately 15% of GDP) and currently modest levels of non-performing loans, with high amounts of foreign currency lending (35% of total loans) and high concentration risks. The system is dominated by the largest commercial banks, which largely have well managed foreign owners. Positively, profitability and capital adequacy of the system currently appear to be robust. Banking supervision and regulation are sound. Deposits dominate the funding base of the system. Capital markets are underdeveloped.

Zambia, Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 2

The Zambian financial institutions sector risk score of '2' is restrained by the currently high fiscal strain facing the Zambian government and public sector more generally, but also high levels of foreign currency lending (over 35%) and high lending concentrations. We consider the regulation to be broadly behind the regional average, but the structure of the sector benefits somewhat from the dominance of foreign owned banks, which control 83% of the banking sector assets. We believe corporate and retail deposits make up the majority of the funding base, although we also anticipate a high amount of foreign lines. Capital markets remain underdeveloped.

Zimbabwe, Financial Institutions Sector Risk Score: 1

Zimbabwe's financial institutions sector risk of '1' is restrained by severe currency fluctuations, volatile monetary policy, long-term weak fiscal position of the government and high through the cycle industry wide non-performing loans. Regulation is broadly in line with the regional average. The sector is somewhat fragmented, which has created stiff competition, but the banks are generally profitable and adequately capitalised. Funding is largely deposit based, spread between corporate and retail deposits. However, there can be large concentrations in the funding base.

ALL GCR CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS, TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS, TERMS OF USE AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTP://GCRRATINGS.COM](http://GCRRATINGS.COM). IN ADDITION, RATING SCALES AND DEFINITIONS ARE AVAILABLE ON GCR'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.GCRRATINGS.COM/RATING_INFORMATION. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. GCR'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY GCR, ARE GCR'S OPINIONS, AS AT THE DATE OF ISSUE OR PUBLICATION THEREOF, OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. GCR DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL AND/OR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY BECOME DUE. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: FRAUD, MARKET LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND GCR'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN GCR'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND GCR'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND GCR'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER GCR'S CREDIT RATINGS, NOR ITS PUBLICATIONS, COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. GCR ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES GCR'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING OR SALE.

Copyright © 2019 GCR INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY GCR MAY NOT BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED OR DISCLOSED, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT GCR'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. Credit ratings are solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer of the instrument in respect of which the rating is issued, and GCR is compensated for the provision of these ratings. Information sources used to prepare the ratings are set out in each credit rating report and/or rating notification and include the following: parties involved in the ratings and public information. All information used to prepare the ratings is obtained by GCR from sources reasonably believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Although GCR will at all times use its best efforts and practices to ensure that the information it relies on is accurate at the time, GCR does not provide any warranty in respect of, nor is it otherwise responsible for, the accurateness of such information. GCR adopts all reasonable measures to ensure that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and that such information is obtained from sources that GCR, acting reasonably, considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, GCR cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall GCR have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage suffered by such person or entity caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error made by GCR, whether negligently (including gross negligence) or otherwise, or other circumstance or contingency outside the control of GCR or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits) suffered by such person or entity, as a result of the use of or inability to use any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained in each credit rating report and/or rating notification are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained in each credit rating report and/or rating notification must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY GCR IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.